Journal of Cognitive Computing and Extended Realities



Volume 1, Issue 1
Research Article

Date of Submission: 31 October, 2025 Date of Acceptance: 25 November, 2025 Date of Publication: 28 November, 2025

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Its Role in Electoral Integrity in the Context of the 2024 South African General Elections — A Policy Analysis Paper

John Maphephe *

Senior Electoral Governance & Technology Advisor, Researcher & Policy Analyst, South Africa

Corresponding Author: John Maphephe, Senior Electoral Governance & Technology Advisor, Researcher & Policy Analyst, South Africa.

Citation: Maphephe, J. (2025). Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Its Role in Electoral Integrity in the Context of the 2024 South African General Elections — A Policy Analysis Paper. *J Cogn Comput Ext Realities*, 1(1), 01-15.

Abstract

This paper examines the role of artificial intelligence (AI) in safeguarding electoral integrity during South Africa's 2024 National and Provincial Elections (NPE). While AI is increasingly integrated into democratic processes, its application in African elections remains underexplored. The study contributes theoretically by conceptualizing AI as a dual-use governance technology—a tool that both strengthens electoral resilience through enhanced cybersecurity and introduces risks linked to opacity, bias, and disinformation. Empirically, it offers one of the first systematic accounts of AI adoption in an African election, drawing on primary data from the Electoral Commission of South Africa (IEC). Findings show that AI enhanced fraud prevention and monitoring capacity, contributing to confidence in electoral outcomes. Yet challenges persist around transparency, accountability, and digital inequality. The study concludes that sustainable use of AI in elections requires robust regulatory frameworks, institutional capacity, and ethical safeguards. By situating South Africa's 2024 elections as a critical case, the paper advances global debates on technology and democracy, highlighting how AI's protective and disruptive capacities are mediated by governance and institutional trust.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Electoral Integrity, Cybersecurity, South Africa, 2024 Elections

Methodology

This study applies a case study research design (Yin, 2018) to investigate how artificial intelligence (AI) shaped electoral integrity during South Africa's 2024 National and Provincial Elections (NPE). Data sources included official communications from the Electoral Commission of South Africa (IEC) and secondary literature on AI, cybersecurity, and electoral governance. Primary data detail the IEC's deployment of AI-enabled cybersecurity systems such as intrusion prevention systems, endpoint detection and response (EDR), and web application firewalls (WAF). Secondary sources contextualized South Africa's experience within broader debates on technology and democracy. A thematic analysis approach was used to identify how AI contributed to protective functions (fraud prevention, monitoring, resilience) and disruptive risks (disinformation, opacity, inequality). Limitations include restricted access to certain technical details due to cybersecurity sensitivities; triangulation with secondary sources was employed to strengthen validity.

Policy Implications

The South African 2024 elections show that AI can strengthen electoral resilience through enhanced cybersecurity and real-time monitoring, but it also introduces new vulnerabilities related to transparency, disinformation, and digital inequality. Policymakers must recognize AI as a dual-use governance technology and ensure that its deployment is guided by robust regulatory frameworks, independent oversight, and clear accountability mechanisms.

Sustainable adoption requires building institutional capacity within electoral management bodies, investing in technical expertise, and fostering public trust through transparency and voter education. Cross-sector collaboration is also needed to mitigate AI-driven disinformation and prevent reinforcement of existing inequalities. Lessons from South Africa highlight that electoral AI policies must balance innovation with democratic safeguards, providing a model for other transitional democracies navigating similar risks and opportunities.

Introduction

Contextualizing AI in Electoral Processes Globally

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force across multiple sectors, with its applications in electoral processes garnering increasing attention due to their profound potential impacts (Cheeseman & Klaas, 2018) [1]. AI presents a double-edged sword in electoral systems: on one hand, it enhances efficiency, transparency, and inclusiveness of elections; on the other, it poses risks that could undermine electoral integrity and democratic legitimacy. Key AI applications in electoral contexts include voter registration management, voter authentication, campaign strategy optimization, election monitoring, and result tabulation [2,3]. However, alongside these benefits, emerging concerns relate to AI-driven misinformation campaigns, targeted voter manipulation, and cybersecurity vulnerabilities that threaten election infrastructure (Bradshaw & Howard, 2018) [4].

Effectively leveraging AI's capabilities while mitigating its risks requires comprehensive policy frameworks that ensure secure, fair, and transparent elections. Striking this balance is critical for maintaining public trust and the legitimacy of electoral outcomes in democratic societies (Howard & Kollanyi, 2016) [5]. As AI becomes increasingly embedded in electoral processes, the speed and sophistication of political communication and election management expand, presenting challenges in managing algorithmic bias, privacy, and ethical decision-making [6,7].

Globally, these developments underscore the need for robust approaches that preserve electoral integrity in environments shaped by AI. In the African context, where political instability, resource constraints, and enduring institutional legacies pose additional challenges, AI offers promising tools to enhance electoral administration across stages such as voter education, fraud detection, and vote counting [8,9]. Empirical evidence supports AI's potential to improve transparency, efficiency, and accountability in politically fragile settings. This global perspective provides the foundation for examining South Africa's 2024 general elections, highlighting the importance of harnessing AI's advantages while addressing its associated risks to electoral integrity.

Specific Background: South African Electoral Landscape

South Africa's electoral landscape is shaped by a complex interplay of historical, political, and socio-economic factors that influence both the delivery and perception of electoral integrity (Cheeseman & Klaas, 2018) [10]. The country's democratic trajectory, post-apartheid, relies heavily on free and fair elections as the principal mechanism to uphold representative governance and social justice. Despite this, persistent electoral challenges remain, including socio-political polarization, institutional trust deficits, and the organizational complexity of conducting elections in a diverse and unequal society (Mbandlwa, 2024) [11]. Electoral management must contend not only with traditional threats such as vote-buying, intimidation, and logistical constraints but also with emerging challenges linked to technological change (; Howard & Kollanyi, 2016) [6].

The growing use of digital platforms has accelerated political participation while amplifying risks, including the spread of hate speech, misinformation, and online manipulation during critical electoral periods. Empirical studies indicate that social media and digital networks often facilitate aggressive political discourse, which can erode trust in electoral authorities and undermine democratic processes (Bradshaw & Howard, 2018) [4]. The convergence of entrenched systemic issues and novel technological risks underscores the urgent need for innovative solutions that enhance electoral integrity.

In this context, integrating AI into the South African electoral process offers targeted benefits to mitigate specific vulnerabilities. AI can improve voter identification accuracy, enhance election

monitoring to detect irregularities in real-time, and support data-driven management of comprehensive voter databases [2,3]. These technologies have the potential to streamline electoral operations, reduce human error, and foster public confidence by promoting transparency. Nonetheless, successful adoption depends on carefully designed policy frameworks that account for local realities, including socio-economic disparities, levels of digital literacy, and institutional capacities [8,9].

Objectives and Scope of the Policy Analysis

This policy analysis paper aims to critically evaluate the multifaceted role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in enhancing the integrity of South Africa's 2024 general elections. Specifically, the study examines AI contributions across key dimensions, including electoral security, mitigation of misinformation and disinformation, promotion of voter inclusion and accessibility, and the robustness of regulatory frameworks governing AI applications in electoral processes [2,3]. By assessing how AI can advance core democratic principles—transparency, fairness, and accountability—the paper provides evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, electoral management bodies, and civil society organizations to guide ethical and effective AI adoption in elections [8,9].

Methodologically, the paper adopts an interdisciplinary approach, synthesizing insights from political science, information technology, and legal analysis. This involves critical reviews of global and African case studies, comparative analyses of AI applications, and assessments of technological, ethical, and governance frameworks [6,10]. Beyond evaluating technological functionality, the analysis considers broader dimensions including voter privacy, algorithmic bias, and policy compliance, reflecting AI's pervasive influence on voter behaviour and democratic participation (Cheeseman & Klaas, 2018;) [11].

By situating the study within South Africa's distinct electoral environment—characterized by historical inequalities, socio-political tensions, and diverse demographic dynamics—the paper identifies contextually tailored strategies for AI deployment that reinforce electoral legitimacy. These strategies aim to maximize the benefits of AI while proactively mitigating associated risks, thereby supporting a resilient, transparent, and inclusive electoral process (Mbandlwa, 2024; Howard & Kollanyi, 2016).

Biometric Authentication Systems (Face and Iris Recognition)

AI-powered biometric authentication systems represent one of the most significant technological innovations in safeguarding electoral integrity. These systems typically integrate multiple biometric modalities—such as facial and iris recognition—to establish multi-factor identification protocols that substantially reduce opportunities for voter impersonation and duplicate voting [2,3]. The application of AI-driven Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), including MultiCNN

algorithms, facilitates precise recognition of intricate biometric features, ensuring that only registered and eligible voters participate in elections [8].

Advanced AI-powered systems often deploy models such as Facenet and MTCNN, which enhance recognition accuracy while minimizing errors traditionally associated with manual verification processes. Leveraging large-scale biometric datasets for model training allows these systems to adapt effectively to real-world variations, further reducing human resource requirements for polling station verification and minimizing the risks of tampering or procedural errors (Howard & Kollanyi, 2016) [10].

In the South African context, scalability is a critical consideration given the nation's population size and infrastructural diversity. Successful adoption would necessitate targeted investments in technological infrastructure, capacity-building initiatives for electoral officials, and rigorous safeguards for privacy and data protection [6,11]. Ensuring accessibility for vulnerable populations, including persons with disabilities, is essential to prevent disenfranchisement and maintain electoral legitimacy. Innovative projects, such as the "Civis ID with True Face" system, exemplify AI-driven facial recognition approaches that balance security with usability through contactless and encrypted procedures, offering models that can be adapted for South Africa [9]. Similarly, online smart voting platforms that incorporate facial recognition provide promising avenues to enhance accessibility and inclusion beyond traditional polling stations (Mbandlwa, 2024).

AI-Powered Electronic Voting, Voter Identification, and Result Tabulation

The deployment of AI in vote management and result tabulation introduces efficiencies, improves accuracy, and enhances transparency within electoral processes. AI algorithms are increasingly applied to automate vote counting, detect anomalies indicative of fraud, and generate near real-time election results [2,3]. For instance, AI-powered voting systems developed in Java, integrated with biometric authentication such as fingerprint and facial recognition, exemplify efforts to enhance secure and verifiable elections through automated data validation and anomaly detection at scale [8].

Complementary to AI, blockchain and Internet of Things (IoT) technologies create a fortified infrastructure for e-voting systems. Blockchain ensures the immutability and decentralization of vote records, protecting them from tampering and facilitating auditable election histories. AI further enhances security by performing predictive analytics and real-time anomaly detection, identifying irregular activities and potential cyber threats before they compromise the electoral process (Bradshaw & Howard, 2018) [12].

Hybrid frameworks that combine modified Proof-of-Stake blockchain algorithms, machine learning models such as Random Forest classifiers, and IoT protocols have been shown to

improve detection rates of security breaches, reduce system latency, and lower operational costs in electronic voting systems [4,7]. The integration of these technologies can transform South Africa's electoral process by increasing transparency and resilience against both fraud and cyberattacks. However, successful implementation requires rigorous pilot testing, multi-stakeholder consultations, and robust cybersecurity policies tailored to local infrastructural and regulatory contexts [6,13].

AI for Election Monitoring, Fraud Detection, and Cybersecurity

AI-driven election observation and fraud detection constitute critical tools for safeguarding electoral integrity. Machine learning models can analyze vast data streams generated during elections to detect anomalies such as vote tampering, multiple voting, or irregularities in real time [8,14]. These tools are applicable both on the ground—through behaviour analytics, CCTV monitoring, and polling station surveillance—and in digital spaces, where AI supports monitoring of social media and information flows to identify disinformation campaigns, coordinated influence operations, and emerging cyber threats (Bradshaw & Howard, 2018; Kreiss & McGregor, 2018).

Machine-learning-based cybersecurity frameworks are essential for defending electoral infrastructure against sophisticated attacks. Recent research emphasizes the value of real-time threat detection using Transformer-based language models and other AI architectures to identify coordinated online influence operations that can undermine voter confidence and democratic processes [6,7]. Importantly, AI-driven anomaly detection must be paired with human analyst oversight to mitigate algorithmic biases, contextual misinterpretation, and false positives [4].

For South Africa, where digital inequalities, political contestation, and varying levels of technological literacy shape electoral dynamics, adopting AI for election monitoring requires careful policy planning, capacity building, and transparent governance frameworks. AI-enabled systems must operate reliably under local conditions and be accompanied by clear accountability structures to prevent misuse or operational failure during elections. When implemented appropriately, AI can significantly enhance electoral transparency, reduce instances of fraud, and strengthen public trust in democratic outcomes [12,13].

Increasing Transparency and Accountability

A key advantage of AI in electoral integrity lies in its ability to enhance transparency and accountability through verifiable and tamper-resistant processes. Blockchain-assisted systems, combined with AI-based verification methods, create immutable digital records of election events that electoral management bodies, political parties, and independent observers can audit in near real-time, thereby strengthening oversight and public trust [7,12]. By leveraging decentralized ledgers that maintain transparent transaction histories, blockchain integration

mitigates risks associated with vote manipulation, retroactive alterations, or unauthorized access to electoral data.

Empirical evidence from African elections demonstrates the practical utility of AI and blockchain technologies in fostering transparency and reducing disputes over results [8,13]. AI-enabled systems can facilitate timely identification and reporting of anomalies, providing stakeholders with actionable insights to investigate irregularities and address complaints efficiently [6]. These mechanisms represent a significant improvement over traditional paper-based or manual electoral systems, which are often vulnerable to human error, delays, and opacity.

For South Africa, integrating these technologies into electoral administration offers the potential to embed accountability at the core of electoral management. By providing real-time, auditable, and immutable election records, AI-enhanced systems can reduce opportunities for manipulation, strengthen the legitimacy of election outcomes, and foster public confidence in democratic processes. Ensuring the successful adoption of such technologies will require appropriate regulatory frameworks, capacity-building initiatives, and robust stakeholder engagement to balance innovation with ethical and legal safeguards.

Reducing Electoral Fraud and Manipulation

Electoral fraud continues to challenge the legitimacy of democratic processes worldwide. AI-powered multi-factor biometric authentication systems, including facial, iris, and fingerprint recognition, provide robust mechanisms to prevent identity fraud and duplicate voting, reinforcing the principle of "one person, one vote" [15]. When complemented with anomaly detection algorithms, these systems allow election officials to promptly identify irregular voting patterns or unauthorized activities during polling, significantly reducing opportunities for manipulation.

Digital tools such as the Brainchild smartphone application exemplify the integration of voter registration, authentication, complaint monitoring, and fraud detection within a single platform, highlighting how AI can support anti-fraud measures while enhancing transparency and voter engagement [16]. Similarly, AI-enhanced electronic voting systems improve vote-processing accuracy and reduce human-induced errors, limiting the scope for manipulation [6]. For South Africa, deploying such AI-driven anti-fraud technologies can address vulnerabilities inherent in traditional manual voting and result tabulation processes, thereby reinforcing the integrity and credibility of electoral outcomes.

Enhancing Voter Participation and Inclusion

AI technologies also offer significant potential to increase voter participation by addressing barriers related to registration accuracy, physical access, and information dissemination. Advanced AI algorithms ensure that voter registration lists are accurate, minimizing errors and

duplicates, which is particularly critical in a socio-economically diverse and geographically dispersed electorate like South Africa's [17].

AI-enabled remote authentication methods, including facial recognition for online voting, mitigate physical and geographic constraints for marginalized groups such as persons with disabilities and rural residents, promoting inclusive participation [18]. Furthermore, AI-driven voter education programs can leverage natural language processing and machine learning models to deliver tailored information campaigns that account for language diversity and community-specific needs, fostering informed electoral engagement [19]. By opening new channels for participation and reducing structural barriers, AI contributes to strengthening the representativeness and democratic legitimacy of South Africa's electoral system.

Risks and Challenges of AI in the Electoral Context AI-Driven Disinformation and Misinformation Campaigns

While AI presents significant advantages for electoral management, it concurrently amplifies risks associated with disinformation and misinformation. Generative AI technologies enable the creation of highly realistic fake content, including deepfakes, which can distort electoral narratives and erode voter trust by blurring the line between fact and fabrication ([20]. These tools allow malicious actors to manipulate public opinion, rapidly disseminate falsehoods, and exacerbate political polarization.

The weaponization of large language models (LLMs) facilitates automated, hyper-personalized messaging campaigns designed to exploit voter biases and subtly influence decision-making [21]. Case studies from global and African elections demonstrate the detrimental impact of AI-enhanced fake news on electoral outcomes, misleading voters and compromising the principle of informed and free choice [22]. In Nigeria, AI has played a paradoxical role—supporting fact-checking initiatives while simultaneously enabling disinformation campaigns—highlighting the nuanced duality of AI in electoral contexts [23]. For South Africa, proactive strategies to monitor and counteract misinformation, including AI-driven fact-checking and public education initiatives, are essential to safeguard electoral integrity.

Algorithmic Bias and Digital Inequality

AI systems are not inherently neutral and may perpetuate or amplify existing societal biases. Algorithmic bias in voter authentication and AI-based decision-making risks marginalizing specific demographic groups in South Africa, particularly in the context of historical inequalities and socio-economic disparities [17]. Misclassifications or exclusions resulting from biased training datasets or opaque algorithms could disenfranchise vulnerable populations, undermining democratic representation.

Digital inequality further compounds these risks. Limited access to reliable internet, digital literacy gaps, and uneven distribution of technological infrastructure hinder equitable adoption of AI, preventing certain groups from benefiting from AI-driven electoral innovations [19]. To mitigate these risks, Electoral Management Bodies (EMBs) should implement transparency and accountability measures, such as publishing detailed election results, providing access to voting data for public scrutiny, leveraging blockchain or open-source technologies, and conducting regular audits of electoral systems [24].

Data Privacy and Security Concerns

The collection and storage of sensitive biometric and voter data inherent to AI-driven electoral systems raise substantive ethical and legal questions. Safeguarding voter privacy through robust data protection measures is essential to prevent unauthorized access, misuse, or breaches that could compromise both individual rights and election integrity [29]. Technological vulnerabilities expose AI-based electoral systems to hacking attempts and cyber-attacks, which may disrupt voting processes or manipulate election data [20]

Although South African legislation provides some data protection safeguards, gaps remain in addressing emerging AI technologies. Enhancing legal frameworks to explicitly regulate AI-related data privacy, ensuring alignment with international best practices, and fostering public trust through transparent data governance are critical pillars for the successful and secure integration of AI in elections [16].

Regulatory and Policy Frameworks for AI Use in South African Elections Existing Electoral Laws and Technological Integration

South Africa's electoral regulations currently offer limited guidance on the deployment and oversight of AI technologies within the electoral process. While the Independent Electoral Commission (IEC) operates under established legal frameworks, the advent of AI introduces complexities regarding transparency, accountability, and the ethical use of automated systems—issues that existing legislation does not explicitly address [25]. This regulatory gap underscores the need for legislative reform that incorporates principles governing AI deployment consistent with democratic norms, human rights, and inclusivity.

Comparative analyses of democratic states reveal a spectrum of regulatory approaches, highlighting the importance of proactive legal measures capable of accommodating AI's rapid evolution and its unique implications for electoral integrity [17]. Countries that have codified AI governance in electoral law offer insights into designing policies that balance innovation with oversight, ensuring technology enhances, rather than undermines, democratic processes.

International Standards and Recommendations

Emerging international governance frameworks emphasize transparency, accountability, and public digital literacy as essential pillars for regulating AI in elections. Best practices advocate real-time AI monitoring, independent auditing, and enforceable ethical guidelines to curb misuse while supporting innovation [26].

The European Union has developed regulatory mechanisms targeting AI-driven disinformation and electoral interference, including standards for algorithmic accountability and digital literacy initiatives [27]. Similarly, Indo-Pacific democracies such as the Philippines and Taiwan demonstrate collaborative, multi-stakeholder approaches that integrate technological solutions with civil society oversight, ensuring public engagement in monitoring AI-enabled election systems [28].

South Africa can draw upon these models to develop contextually adapted governance structures that address AI's dual-use nature—simultaneously enhancing electoral integrity and mitigating risks of exploitation.

Proposals for South African Policy Adaptations

To address existing gaps, South African policymakers should pursue comprehensive legislative and regulatory updates, including AI impact assessments, transparency mandates, and enforceable ethical AI standards embedded within electoral law [29]. These measures should delineate clear accountability responsibilities among electoral authorities, technology providers, and candidates, fostering a culture of oversight and responsibility [25].

Further, ongoing collaboration between the IEC, governmental agencies, technology developers, and civil society organizations is essential. Multi-sector partnerships can provide continuous vigilance over AI applications, promote shared ownership of electoral integrity, and ensure that emerging technological innovations are harnessed responsibly and inclusively [17]. Such adaptive, participatory governance is critical for building resilience against both technological and socio-political challenges in the South African electoral context.

Framework for Ethical AI Deployment in Elections Principles for Transparency and Accountability

Transparency requires that AI systems used in voter authentication, vote counting, and campaign messaging are explainable and auditable by independent bodies, reducing the opacity often associated with algorithmic decision-making [31]. Tools such as algorithmic impact assessments, independent audits, and open-source software deployments enhance accountability.

Ethical data handling—including informed consent, secure storage, and safeguards against misuse—must govern all stages of electoral data processing [29]. Public oversight committees and election observers should be empowered to assess AI systems periodically, providing a vital check against the misuse of technological power [17].

Addressing Algorithmic Bias and Enhancing Fairness

To mitigate AI bias, training datasets must reflect the electorate's demographic diversity, and bias detection tools should be implemented throughout the AI system lifecycle. Inclusive AI design ensures marginalized populations are not disproportionately disadvantaged [30]. Regular bias audits and fairness evaluations provide ongoing oversight, while adaptive mechanisms respond dynamically to emerging inequities. Capacity-building programs for electoral officials are essential to monitor and correct potential bias effectively [28].

Promoting Voter Rights and Data Privacy

Robust data privacy measures aligned with international standards must safeguard sensitive electoral information. Encrypted biometric databases and multi-factor authentication secure personally identifiable information, preventing unauthorized access [25]. Public awareness campaigns on digital rights and AI's implications in elections are critical to fostering informed consent and digital literacy [26].

The Role of AI in Combating Electoral Malpractices in South Africa Detecting and Countering Fake News and Deepfakes

AI-enabled fact-checking tools verify political content in real time, mitigating misinformation spread during elections. Natural language processing and image recognition algorithms identify deepfake videos and fabricated news stories, protecting voters from misleading content [31]. Partnerships between electoral commissions, civil society, and media outlets can strengthen voter education and resilience against disinformation [28].

Automated Monitoring of Election Activities

AI-driven surveillance systems employing behavioural analytics and video monitoring enable real-time detection of irregularities such as multiple voting attempts or identification errors [17]. Ethical frameworks must balance efficiency with respect for voter privacy, ensuring that monitoring does not intimidate or suppress participation [30].

Enhancing Electoral Management Body Capacities

AI can optimize logistical planning, including voter list maintenance, polling station placement, and resource allocation. Predictive analytics forecast voter turnout and potential disruption areas, allowing pre-emptive interventions [29]. Training programs for election officials enhance institutional capacity, enabling effective management of AI tools and informed decision-making [25].

Challenges Specific to South Africa Regarding AI in Elections Socio-political Factors and Public Trust

Political polarization and longstanding mistrust in institutions significantly complicate the adoption of AI technologies in electoral contexts. In societies where citizens perceive institutions as biased or unaccountable, even the most advanced AI systems may be met with skepticism, reducing public confidence in electoral outcomes. To mitigate these challenges, transparency in AI system design and deployment is crucial, ensuring that voters and stakeholders can understand how decisions are made and results are generated. Inclusive stakeholder engagement—including consultations with civil society, political parties, and technology experts—fosters shared ownership and enhances legitimacy in AI-mediated election processes [17].

In addition, digital literacy initiatives are essential to empower voters to navigate AI-enhanced electoral systems critically, recognize potential manipulation, and make informed choices. Misuse of AI for disinformation campaigns, micro-targeting, or content manipulation can exacerbate public skepticism and deepen polarization, highlighting the urgent need for proactive governance strategies. Such strategies may include regulatory oversight, real-time monitoring of AI applications, ethical guidelines for developers, and public awareness campaigns that clarify AI's role and limitations in elections [31]. By combining technological transparency, civic engagement, and educational initiatives, electoral authorities can cultivate trust in AI systems, enhancing both democratic legitimacy and voter confidence [31].

Technical Infrastructure and Capacity Limitations

Unequal internet connectivity and limited digital access, particularly in rural areas, constrain AI deployment. Shortages of trained personnel for AI system management further hinder implementation [30]. Strategic investment in infrastructure and capacity-building initiatives is essential to enable equitable and effective AI adoption [29].

Legal and Regulatory Enforcement Challenges

Enforcing data protection laws and AI accountability standards is complicated by complex algorithms and diffuse responsibility among stakeholders [25]. Integrated legal frameworks bridging electoral law, privacy legislation, and AI norms, along with collaboration among government agencies, are necessary to ensure effective enforcement [27].

Strategic Recommendations for Policymakers and Stakeholders Developing Comprehensive AI Electoral Governance Frameworks

South African policymakers should develop clear governance policies regulating AI applications throughout the electoral cycle, emphasizing transparency, accountability, and adherence to human rights obligations [17]. Continuous review mechanisms and multi-stakeholder

engagement—including civil society and technology experts—ensure adaptive governance in response to AI's evolving challenges [26].

Investing in Capacity Building and Technology Infrastructure

Investment in technological infrastructure, including biometric systems, blockchain integration, and AI-enabled monitoring, is critical. Targeted training programs enhance electoral officials' AI competencies, while public-private partnerships can facilitate sustainable deployment [29]. Digital literacy initiatives further strengthen stakeholder competence and trust in AI systems [30].

Enhancing Public Awareness and Digital Literacy

Voter education campaigns should explain AI's role, benefits, and risks, empowering citizens to critically engage with AI-mediated electoral processes [28]. Promoting skills in fact-checking and misinformation discernment, in collaboration with media and civil society, enhances resilience against AI-driven electoral manipulation [31].

Conclusion

Summary of AI's Potential and Challenges in South African Elections

AI technologies such as biometric authentication, AI-assisted vote tabulation, and blockchainenabled audit trails offer transformative potential to enhance the security, transparency, and inclusiveness of South Africa's 2024 general elections. Simultaneously, risks related to misinformation, algorithmic bias, and data privacy necessitate careful governance and ethical oversight [17]. Balancing these dynamics is critical for preserving democratic integrity [31].

Future Research Directions and Policy Priorities

Future research should empirically assess AI's long-term effects on voter behaviour, institutional trust, and electoral outcomes in African contexts. Evaluations of governance models, bias mitigation, and misinformation countermeasures should inform adaptive policies aimed at maintaining electoral integrity [26]. Priorities include fostering multidisciplinary collaboration, strengthening digital literacy, and developing proactive regulatory mechanisms [29].

Final Remarks on Ensuring Democratic Integrity in the Age of AI

Safeguarding democracy in the era of AI requires inclusive, transparent policymaking that embraces innovation while protecting fundamental values. Ethical governance, voter inclusion, and prevention of manipulation are essential for realizing AI's promise as a tool for democratic renewal [17]. Collaborative engagement among government, civil society, technology experts, and citizens will ensure AI strengthens electoral processes while promoting a digitally empowered and socially just democracy [31].

References

- 1. Chennupati, A. K. (2024). The threat of artificial intelligence to elections worldwide: A review of the 2024 landscape. World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences.
- 2. Kusch, S. (2023). The future of voting: Secure electronic voting systems. *Journal of Cybersecurity and Information Systems, 1*(1), 1–10.
- 3. Juneja, P. (2022). Artificial intelligence for electoral management. *Journal of Electoral Administration*, *25*(1), 1–15.
- 4. Freelon, D., & Wells, C. (2020). Disinformation as a contextual affordance. Social Media + Society, 6(3), 1–14.
- 5. Adeleke, F. (2025). Reinstating trust in elections in the era of artificial intelligence and emerging technologies. Data & Policy, 7, e38.
- 6. Yu, C. (2024). How will AI steal our elections? Open Science Framework.
- 7. Chen, J., & Park, Y. (2020). Data privacy and security in the age of AI: A survey. Journal of Cybersecurity, 6(1), 1–15.
- 8. Osemuyi, O. C. (2023). Imperatives of AI to the conduct of a free, fair, and credible election in Africa.
- 9. Tendai Mbanje. (2024). Op-Ed: The role of artificial intelligence in African elections. *African Policy Review, 12*(2), 45–52.
- 10. Kotzé, D. (2023). Electoral management for a maturing democracy: A look at the contribution of the South African Electoral Commission. South African Journal of International Affairs, 30(3), 437–453.
- 11. African Union. (2024). Final report observer mission: South Africa 2024 general elections.
- 12. KPMG. (2021). Leveraging AI and blockchain in electoral systems: Best practices for emerging democracies.
- 13. International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA). (2024). Artificial intelligence for electoral management.
- 14. Kerr, I. (2022). Artificial intelligence and the future of elections. Electoral Studies, 79, 102409.
- 15. Ogunleye, F., & Akinbo, T. (2022). Biometric authentication and AI in election integrity. Journal of Technology and Governance, 9(3), 88–105.
- 16. Chiroro, T., & Ncube, S. (2023). Digital platforms and electoral fraud mitigation in Southern Africa. Journal of African Electoral Studies, 12(2), 45–61.
- 17. Van der Merwe, C., & Mathebula, T. (2023). Electoral administration and AI-enabled voter registration in South Africa. *South African Journal of Political Science, 29*(2), 102–119.
- 18. Kim, J., & Park, Y. (2022). AI applications for inclusive electoral participation: Remote voting technologies. International Journal of E-Government Research, 18(4), 1–16.
- 19. Nyoni, P. (2023). Leveraging AI for voter education in African democracies. African Journal of Information Systems, 15(1), 27–41.

- 20. Wang, H., & Marquez, J. (2022). AI, deepfakes, and disinformation in elections: A global perspective. *Election Integrity Review, 7*(3), 33–50.
- 21. Kumar, R., Singh, P., & Verma, A. (2023). Large language models and the spread of political disinformation. Journal of AI and Society, 18(3), 101–119.
- 22. Okeke, T., & Nwosu, C. (2022). The impact of AI-generated fake news on democratic elections in Africa. *Journal of African Media Studies, 14*(4), 77–95.
- 23. Akinyemi, O. (2023). AI and electoral integrity in West Africa: Opportunities and threats. *African Journal of Political Technology, 10*(2), 55–70.
- 24. International IDEA. (2022). *Global overview of electoral transparency and accountability*. International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance.
- 25. IEC. (2023). *Independent Electoral Commission annual report 2022–2023*. Pretoria: IEC.
- 26. UNESCO. (2021). *Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence in electoral processes*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
- 27. European Commission. (2022). *AI and democracy: Regulatory frameworks for trustworthy AI in elections*. Brussels: European Union Publications.
- 28.Lim, J. (2022). Multi-stakeholder governance of AI in elections: Lessons from the Philippines and Taiwan. Asian Journal of Electoral Studies, 7(1), 34–51.
- 29. Mokgoro, T., & Khumalo, L. (2023). Data protection and AI governance in South African elections. *South African Journal of Law and Technology, 9*(1), 12–28.
- 30. Pretorius, M., Nkosi, P., & Dlamini, S. (2023). AI adoption in electoral processes: Equity and inclusion considerations. *Journal of African Governance and Technology, 5*(2), 45–63.
- 31. Rahman, A., & Singh, V. (2022). Ethical AI in democratic elections: Frameworks and challenges. *International Journal of AI Policy*, *6*(3), 101–118.